Hrvat. čas. zdr. znan. 2023; 3: 84-92 https://doi.org/10.48188/hczz.3.2.9



GADOLINIUM-BASED CONTRAST AGENTS IN PAEDIATRIC **POPULATION: A REVIEW**

IRA GABELA¹, DANIJELA BUDIMIR MRŠIĆ^{1,2,3}

With the advancement of technology and development of magnetic resonance imaging, a major turning point in the field of radiology occurred in the twentieth century. Visualization of all parts of the human body was greatly facilitated while maintaining excellent spatial resolution without the use of ionizing radiation. In the pediatric population, this method is especially useful in the evaluation of the brain, thorax, abdomen, pelvis, and extremities. This is one of the first methods of choice for younger patients due to the non-use of ionizing radiation. Magnetic resonance contrast agents are used to increase the contrast of the obtained image and better resolution. The most used contrast agents include Gadolinium-Based Contrast Agents, whose first application took place in 1983. According to their molecular structure, they are divided into linear and macrocyclic, and in addition, they can be divided into ionic and nonionic. Macrocyclic compounds are generally considered safer due to the lower rate of dissociation of the free gadolinium ion, which is toxic in this form. Gadolinium-Based Contrast Agents have long been believed to be completely safe, but their association with nephrogenic systemic fibrosis was observed in the 2000s, after which the focus was on the use of macrocyclic compounds in pediatric patients for proven safety. In addition to the mentioned side effects, the development of acute allergic reactions is also possible, and more recently, findings on the deposition of gadolinium in tissues have been discovered. Despite the very low rate of all these side effects in pediatric patients, their long-term safety and use in the neonatal age has not yet been established. Precisely because of this, they should be applied with caution, with an emphasis on the application of the lowest possible doses, the use of macrocyclic chelates and a good risk assessment. This review paper collects and analyses so far published research on Gadolinium-Based Contrast Agents in the pediatric population.

Keywords: CONTRAST AGENTS, GADOLINIUM, MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING, PAEDIATRIC PATIENTS

INTRODUCTION

With the advancement of technology and development of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), a major turning point in the field of radiology occurred in the twentieth century. Visualization of all parts of the human body was greatly facilitated while maintaining excellent spatial resolution while not using io-

¹University Department of Health Studies, University of Split ²Clinical Department of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology, University Hospital of Split ³School of Medicine, University of Split

Corresponding author: Danijela Budimir Mršić, MD, PhD Clinical Department of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology Clinical Hospital Centre Split 21000 Split, Spinčićeva 1, Croatia E-mail: danijelabudimir@gmail.com

nizing radiation. Magnetic resonance imaging in the pediatric population is a useful method in the evaluation of numerous conditions in pediatric patients, such as congenital abnormalities, chronic diseases of the central nervous system, inflammatory bowel disease, joint infections, tumors and many more. This is one of the first methods of choice for younger patients due to the non-use of ionizing radiation. However, before performing the examination itself, it is necessary to consider information about the child's health problems, used medications, recent operations, if the patient has embedded medical or electronic devices and the existence of allergies (1).

MRI is associated with possible complications, which can be classified into several categories: biological effect of non-ionizing electromagnetic fields, risk for hearing due to loud noises du-

ring the examination, injuries due to ferromagnetic devices, risk of sedation or general anesthesia - the main shortterm risks are insufficient or excessive. Other side effects include possible pulmonary complications, and currently not enough is known about all possible long-term effects, length of examination and finally the risk of Gadolinium-Based Contrast Agents (GBCA) (2).

GBCAs are the most commonly used contrast agents in magnetic resonance imaging due to gadolinium's high magnetic moment and the fact that its compounds are the most stable ions with unpaired electrons. The signal intensity of individual tissues in MRI depends on the relaxation of water protons and is reflected in the values of T1. T2 and T2*. GBCAs shorten T1 and T2 relaxation times (due to acceleration of proton relaxation in the body), creating a higher signal

similar magnetic characteristics. The result is increased intensity on T1 (hyperintensity) and decreased intensity on T2 images (hypointensity), with the fact that their effect is more pronounced on T1 temporal relaxation while using diagnostic doses. Consequently, their effect is visible only on T1 images. Gadolinium, as a contrast, is retained extracellularly and does not enter the cells (preferably due to the toxic properties of gadolinium, especially in the case of chelates with low molecular stability). Its characteristic is rapid passage through vascular spaces and going into the extracellular or interstitial space. Gadolinium compounds are almost completely excreted by the kidney (through glomerular filtration >80% in the first three hours, and >94% in 24 hours), and those that bind to plasma proteins and enter hepatocytes partly also via bile. Application of these compounds is done intravenously or enterally, less often locally (3, 4). According to their molecular structure, they are divided into linear and macrocyclic, and in addition, they can be divided into ionic and nonionic (shown in Table 1). Macrocyclic compounds are generally considered safer due to the lower rate of dissociation of the free gadolinium ion, which is toxic in this form. GBCAs have long been believed to be completely safe, but their association with nephrogenic systemic fibrosis (NSF) was observed in the 2000s, after which the focus was on the use of macrocyclic compounds in pediatric patients for proven safety and stability.

and better contrast between tissues of

The aims of this work were to show the incidence of acute and late reactions of GBCA in pediatric patients, including the deposition of gadolinium in the brain tissue of children, to demonstrate the safety of currently approved extracellular macrocyclic gadolinium compounds and to describe the specifics and safety of linear gadolinium for liver imaging of children.

METHODS

The review article included the latest relevant studies on the safety of GBCAs. The database that was predominantly searched was PubMed/Medline, and along with it, other Internet platforms were used if useful material was found. The reference list within each article was checked in order to find additional articles.

The searched literature was chosen based on the criterion that it includes the pediatric population, but occasionally it was compared with the adults if significant findings were present. Selected sources with the latest knowledge and guidelines were divided into four categories that included acute and late side effects, gadolinium accumulation in the brain, safety of currently approved macrocyclic compounds and gadolinium for liver imaging, and were presented in tables.

RESULTS

Several studies have been conducted on a large number of pediatric patients on the incidence and type of side effects

Table 1 List of Gadolinium-Based Contrast Agents

2 0	
Trade (chemical) name	Structure (use)
Dotarem (gadoterate meglumine)	Macrocyclic ionic (intraver
ProHance (gadoteridol)	Macrocyclic non-ionic (intr
Gadovist (gadobutrol)	Macrocyclic non-ionic (intr
Magnevist (gadopentate dimeglumine)	Linear ionic (intravenous, i
MultiHance (gadobenate dimeglumin)	Linear ionic (intravenous)
Omniscan (gadodiamide)	Linear non-ionic (intraveno
OptiMark (gadoversetamide)	Linear non-ionic (intraveno
Primovist (gadoxetate)	Linear ionic (intravenous)

after the use of GBCA in children. Forbes-Amrhein et al conducted a study on large population with the aim of determining the frequency and severity of acute allergic reactions; incidence of adverse events was 0.06%, with no significant differences regarding the frequency of occurrence and the type of contrast agent used (5). Most of reactions were mild (47.6%), such as urticaria/pruritus and skin edema, itching/scratching in the throat, nasal obstruction, sneezing, conjunctivitis, and rhinorrhea. Moderate reactions (47.6%) included diffuse urticaria/pruritus, diffuse erythema, facial edema without dyspnea, throat tightness or hoarseness also without dyspnea, and bronchospasm with mild or no hypoxia. Severe reactions (4.8%) included: diffuse edema or facial edema with dyspnea, diffuse ervthema with hypotension. laryngeal edema with stridor and/or hypoxia, wheezing or bronchospasm, significant hypoxia and anaphylactic shock. No death was reported related. In conclusion, the most of the reactions (95%) in this study refer to those of mild or moderate severity, and the only chelate that caused a severe reaction was gadoterate, Table 2.

In the study by Dillman et al. mild acute reactions accounted for 74%, 10% were moderate reactions, and 7% were severe reactions, which included convulsions, arrhythmias and cardiopulmonary arrest (6). As in the previous study, no deaths were recorded. Acute allergic reactions were more common in adult patients (0.07%) than in pediatric 0.04%. Also, it was observed that 63% of female patients in the adult and 83% in the pediatric population experienced acute reactions, while the figure for men was significantly lower (35% as the sum of adult and pediatric patients); the reason for this gender difference is still not revealed, Table 2.

A retrospective study by McDonald et al. reported 17 allergy-like reactions (0.10%), of which 13 were mild (rash, throat discomfort, and nasal or eye symptoms), and 4 were moderate (difficulty breathing), and 23 physiological reactions (0.14%), all were of mild severity such as nausea, vomiting, vasovagal symptoms, flushing or chills (7). As in

venous, intra-articular)

ntravenous)

ntravenous)

[,] intra-articular)

enous)

enous)

previous investigations, no deaths were recorded. The incidence of acute allergic reactions was significantly higher after gadobenate compared to gadodiamide (0.49% vs. 0.04%) and slightly higher after gadobutrol compared to gadodiamide (0.14% vs. 0.04%), but in physiological reactions no significant difference was found. The higher the age of the subject, the greater the risk for allergic reactions $(0.14\% \rightarrow 12-17 \text{ years compared to})$ $0.07\% \rightarrow 2-12$ years), and significantly more for physiological reactions (0.26%) \rightarrow 12-17 years compared to 0.03% \rightarrow 2-12 years) was observed. As mentioned in the previous study, a higher incidence of reactions was observed in female patients compared to the male population (0.12% vs. 0.08%), Table 2.

In the last decade, gadolinum deposits in the brain tissue were confirmed by several independent researces. In the study by Stanescu et al. the highest levels of gadolinium deposition were found in patients who were repeatedly expo-

agents (gadopentetate dimeglumine) and macrocyclic nonionic compounds (gadobutrol and gadoteridol) (8). In those patients who received <5 doses during their lifetime, significantly less deposition was observed in contrast to those who exceeded this number. Study by Ryu et al. found greater change in the pons with gadodiamide compared to gadopentetate dimeglumine, while no significant difference in the change in the thalamus was observed (9). This indicates an increased risk with linear nonionic compounds compared to linear ionic ones. In the study by Roberts et al. presented a case of 13-year-old girl who underwent routine MR imaging every 12 months using only gadopentetate dimeglumine (10). On the initial MR imaging of the brain, the cerebellum and globus pallidus had a normal appearance, but, after 6 doses of contrast agent hyperintensity was observed bilaterally in the nucleus dentatus and globus pallidus. Study by Towbin

sed to gadolinium linear ionic contrast

et al. indicated that there was a notable

increase in the T1 signal with a greater

number of contrast applications (11).

The effect of gadoterate, a macrocyclic

compound was studied by Topcuoglu

et al., who showed that three applicati-

ons of this macrocyclic compound were

required to produce a measurable le-

vel of gadolinium retention in the brain

(12). In an animal study, it was shown

that gadoterate meglumine accumulates

not only in the cerebrum, but also in the

femur and kidneys. On the other hand,

Tibussek et al. studied the accumulation

of gadolinium after the application of

gadoterate and gadoteridol, even after

multiple intravenous applications did not

observe the association of these GBCA

with hyperintensity in T1 sequences

(13). A study by Bhargava et al. found no

correlation of a macrocyclic compound.

in this case gadobutrol, with the side

effect of its accumulation in the brain

tissue of pediatric patients (14). Among

46 children who received five or more

Table 2.

List of studies that investigated acute and late side effects

First author, year	Number of respondents	Age	Sex	Contrast agents	Main results
Forbes-Amrhein MM, 2018 (5)	32365 applications of GBCA	0-17 y mean age \rightarrow 11,4 \pm 3,8	$\begin{array}{l} M \to 17.156 \\ (53\%) \ F \to \\ 15.209 \ (47\%) \end{array}$	 gadofosveset trisodium (Ablavar) gadoxetate (Eovist) gadoterate (Dotarem) gadopentetate dimeglumine (Magnevist) 	- 21 acute allergic-like reactions (without differences in type of contrast agent used)
					- Most reactions (95%) refered to mild or moderate, and only gadoterate caused a severe reaction
					- The overall incidence of acute allergic reactions was 0.06%
					- Reactions \rightarrow M > F
					- No deaths
Dillman JR, 2007 (6)	GBCA, of which	Pediatric (<19 years) and adult patients)	$\begin{array}{l} M \rightarrow 46\% \\ F \rightarrow 54 \% \end{array}$	 gadopentetate dimeglumine (Magnevist) gadobenate dimeglumine (MultiHance) gadodiamide (Omniscan) 	- Mild acute reactions were the mos common (74%)
					- Acute allergic reactions were more common in adult patients
					- Reactions \rightarrow F > M
					- No deaths
McDonald JS, 2021 (7)	10190 patientsthat underwenta total of16237 GBCAapplications		$M \rightarrow 2.208$ (51%) F $\rightarrow 7.982$ (49%)	- gadodiamide (Omniscan) - gadobutrol (Gadovist) - gadobenate dimeglumine (MultiHance)	- 0.10% acute allergic reactions and 0.14% physiological reactions
		2			- Higher incidence with the application of gadobutrol and gadobenate compared to gadodiamide
					- Older patients were at a higher risk
					- Reactions \rightarrow F > M
					- No deaths

First author, year	Number of respondents	Age	Sex	Contrast agents	Main results
Stanescu AL, 2020 (8)	10	1-13 y mean age $\rightarrow 7$	$M \rightarrow 7 \ F \rightarrow 3$	 gadopentetate dimeglumine (Magnevist) gadoteridol (ProHance) gadobutrol (Gadovist) gadofosveset trisodium (Ablavar) gadoterate (Dotarem) 	 The highest levels of deposition in patients who were repeatedly exposed to linear ionic GBCA (gadopentetate dimeglumine) and macrocyclic nonionic compounds (gadobutrol and gadoteridol) The highest level of deposition was in the globus pallidus In patients who received <5 doses, significantly less deposition was observed in contrast to >5 doses
Ryu YJ, 2018 (9)	92	1 month to 14 y mean age $\rightarrow 6.4$ ± 4.6	$\begin{array}{c} M \rightarrow 59 \\ F \rightarrow 33 \end{array}$	- gadodiamide (Omniscan) - gadopentetate dimeglumine (Magnevist) - gadoterate (Dotarem)	 When using gadodiamide, a significantly greater change in the pons was observed compared to gadopentetate dimeglumine, while no significant difference in the change of thalamus was observed Increased risk with linear nonionic compounds compared to linear ionic ones Macrocyclics did not cause increased gadolinium accumulation
Roberts DR, 2016 (10)	1	13 y	1 F	- gadopentetate dimeglumine (Magnevist)	 After 4 doses of GBCA → subtle hyperintensity of the dentate nucleus on the T1 image By the fifth dose → hyperintensity was clearly present within the nucleus dentatus By the sixth dose → hyperintensity was observed bilaterally in the nucleus dentatus and globus pallidus
Towbin AJ, 2021 (11)	50	< 18 y mean age $\rightarrow 6.4$	$\begin{array}{c} M \rightarrow 25 \\ F \rightarrow 25 \end{array}$	- gadopentetate dimeglumine (Magnevist)	 Significant increase in TI signal with a greater number of contrast applications Sex, age and strength of the MRI field are not related to the change in signal intensity ratio, however the type of MRI sequence as well as the brand of the device showed differences
Topcuoglu ED, 2020 (12)	45	5-17 y mean age \rightarrow 13.7 ± 3.4	$\begin{array}{c} M \rightarrow 23 \\ F \rightarrow 22 \end{array}$	- gadoterate (Dotarem)	- To reach a detectable level of gadolinium retention in the brain, this macrocyclic compound had to be applied three times
Tibussek D, 2017 (13)	24	5-18 y mean age → 12.71	$M \rightarrow 9 \text{ F} \rightarrow 15$	- gadoteridol (ProHance) - gadoterate (Dotarem)	- Even after multiple intravenous administrations, association of GBCA with hyperintensity in T1 sequences was not observed
Bhargava R, 2018 (14)	91	0-17 y mean age $\rightarrow 5.4$	F and M	- Gadobutrol (Gadovist)	- No correlation of gadobutrol with the side effect of its accumulation in the brain tissue o pediatric patients was found
Young JR, 2018 (15)	10 (gadoteridol) - 9 (gadodiamide)	<10 y mean age: \rightarrow 5.6 (gadoteridol) \rightarrow 9.6 (gadodiamide)	$M \rightarrow 7 F \rightarrow 3$ (gadoteridol) - $M \rightarrow 5 F \rightarrow 4$ (gadodiamide)	- gadoteridol (ProHance) - gadodiamide (Omniscan)	- The mean signal intensity ratio in the gadoteridol group did not significantly alter, whereas the mean signal intensity in the gadodiamide group significantly increased

doses of gadobutrol, no change in signal intensity ratio of the globus pallidus or nucleus dentatus was observed, nor among six children who underwent more than 14 doses of gadobutrol. The effect of gadoteridol was described in the study by Young et al. and a comparison of its safety in terms of gadolinium retention compared to the linear gadodiamide was performed (15). The mean signal inten-

sity ratio did not significantly alter in the group that received gadoteridol, whereas the mean signal intensity of the specified area significantly increased in the group that received gadodiamide. Table 4.

List of studies investigating safety of currently approved macrocyclic compounds

First author, year	Number of respondents	Age	Sex	Contrast agent	Main results
Chang D-H, 1631 2019 (16)	< 18 y mean age \rightarrow 10.2 \pm 4.9	M → 872 (53,5%) F → 759 (46,5%)	- gadoterate (Dotarem)	- Only one reaction after gadoterate application (vomiting of mild intensity)	
				 The most frequently reported side effects → vomiting and nausea, followed by urticaria and itching No NSF 	
					- Inchildren aged 2 to 6 years \rightarrow itching, headache and
Balassy C,	2010	<18 y	M and F	- gadoterate (Dotarem)	dizziness
2015 (17) 3810	3810				 In children aged 6 to 12 years → hematuria and vomiting In children aged 12 to 17 years → asthenia, urticaria and
					nausea
Scala M, 2018 45					- The most commonly reported side effects \rightarrow pyrexia, leukopenia, while the rest were related to gastrointestinal disorders
	<2 y mean age $\rightarrow 9.9 \pm 7.4$	$M \rightarrow 22$ (48.9%) F \rightarrow	- gadoterate	- The majority of adverse effects (61.5%) were of mild intensity 38.5% of them were moderate, and none of the more severe form was recorded	
(18)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	- Regarding hematology and biochemical parameters → slight decrease in the mean values of erythrocytes, hemoglobin, leukocytes, lymphocytes, platelets, aspartate transaminase, alanine transaminase, alkaline phosphatase and lactate dehydrogenase was observed, however, it is not considered clinically significant			
				- Vomiting (8.7%), transient flushing or warmth (5.3%) and nausea (4.7%)	
		<2 y mean age → 12.1 months	$M \rightarrow 84 (56\%)$ F $\rightarrow 66 (44\%)$	- gadoterate (Dotarem)	- Other physiological reactions \rightarrow dizziness (0.7%) and altered taste (0.7%)
					- Two allergy-like reactions were recorded in 2 patients (sneezing and non-specific sounds when breathing)
Farmakis SG, 2020 (19)	150				- None of these reactions could be attributed solely to gadoterate meglumine due to previously used sedative agents
		- The majority of reported side effects were of mild intensity and physiological in nature, consistent with the most commonly reported adverse events in previous studies, however the overall adverse event rate including both immediate and late reactions was 15.3% and it is higher than those previously recorded in the literature			
Emond S, 2011 (20)	104	< 18 months mean age \rightarrow 8.1 months	$M \rightarrow 58$ (55.8%) F \rightarrow 45 (43.3%)	- gadoterate (Dotarem)	- No cases of acute adverse reactions, as well as the occurrence of NSF, were recorded
Glutig K, 2016 (21) 1142				- gadobutrol (Gadovist)	- Individual adverse events included vomiting, nausea, urticaria, dyspnea, and eyelid edema; no serious side effects were reported
	1142	< 18 y	$\begin{array}{l} M \rightarrow 604 \\ F \rightarrow 538 \end{array}$		- No skin reactions were recorded in any case, which would indicate NSF
					- The severity of all side effects reported in this study ranged from mild to moderate.
		¹ All ages		- gadobutrol (Gadovist)	- Regarding pediatric patients in the group (younger than seven years) \rightarrow no adverse effects of gadobutrol were recorded
	3710 patients (404 children)		$\begin{array}{l} M \rightarrow 1664 \\ F \rightarrow 2008 \end{array}$		- The only recorded side effect was in a 14-year-old patient with a suspected brain tumor → burning sensation along the forearm immediately after applying GBCA and a headache lasting 5 minutes

First author, year	Number of respondents	Age	Sex	Contrast agent	Main results
					- Most side effects after administration → mild symptoms that include cough, pyrexia, nasopharyngitis, rhinitis and vomiting
Kunze C, 2016 (23)	44	< 2 y mean age $\rightarrow 8.8$ months	$\begin{array}{l} M \rightarrow 26 \\ F \rightarrow 18 \end{array}$	- gadobutrol (Gadovist)	- One subject had vomiting of mild intensity
					- No clinically significant changes in laboratory parameters were observed, as well as changes in vital signs and heart rhythm
Shah CC, 2021 (24)	125	<2 y mean age \rightarrow 8.1 months	$\begin{array}{l} M \rightarrow 70 \\ F \rightarrow 55 \end{array}$	- gadoteridol (ProHance)	- Six of them (4.8%) experienced 11 side effects during the 48 hour follow-up period after the application itself
					- Almost all side effects were related to laboratory values → elevated platelet levels, mildly decreased hemoglobin, mildly decreased hemoglobin and erythrocyte counts, moderately decreased platelet levels and mildly elevated blood chloride
					- All events are not directly correlated with gadoteridol, but there is a possibility that they are caused by the general poor condition of the patient or the pathology from which he suffer
			$\begin{array}{c} M \rightarrow 15 \\ F \rightarrow 6 \end{array}$	- gadoterate (Dotarem)	- The amount of gadolinium in the liver and the total dose of GBCA that was administered correlated positively in each of the 21 cases
Maximova N, 2016 (25)	21	2-17 y mean age $\rightarrow 10$			- The amount of gadolinium and iron in the liver were also found to be positively correlated
					- Gadolinium levels in the liver were reduced in deferoxamin treated patients \rightarrow additional research is required to determine the safety in patients with iron excess and severe siderosis
Jurkiewicz E,	80	2-17 y mean age \rightarrow 9.3 y	$M \rightarrow 41 (51.3)$ %) $F \rightarrow 39 (48.8\%)$	- gadopiclenol	- Two patients (2.5%) experienced nonserious adverse events considered related to gadopiclenol: a mild QT interval prolongation and a moderate maculopapular rash
					- The profile of gadopic lenol in children \rightarrow similar to that observed in a dults
2022 (26)					- No indication for age-based dose adaptation
					- Gadopiclenol at 0.05 mmol/kg seems to have a good safety profile and could improve lesion detection and visualization, therefore providing better diagnostic confidence
Heshmatzadeh Behzadi A, 2022 (27)	766	All ages, mean age → 53.1 y	$\begin{array}{l} M \rightarrow 332 \\ F \rightarrow 434 \end{array}$	- gadoterate (Dotarem)	- No side effects, including those from gadoterate, were
				- gadoteridol (ProHance)	documented in this cohort - This data shows that GBCA used in MRIs of the central
				- gadobutrol (Gadovist)	nervous system have a great safety and effectiveness profile

There are several studies investigating safety of currently approved macrocyclic compounds. The large international (SECURE) study reported only one case of reaction after the application of gadoterate in children and it was vomiting of mild intensity, the cause is most likely related to intracranial pressure due to a brain tumor from which he suffers, however, it cannot be ruled out with certainty that it is not the result of an interaction with the contrast agent (16). Indeed, the most frequently reported side effects after applying gadoterate are precisely vomiting and nausea, followed by urticaria and itching. No suspicion of

NSF has been documented. In the study by Balassy et al. the safety of using gadoterate was investigated in clinical trials in the pediatric population (17). Reported reactions were generally mild, such as itching, headache, dizziness, hematuria, vomiting, asthenia, urticaria and nausea. The study by Scala et al. included pediatric patients <2 years old, who had gadoterate intravenously administered once (18). The most commonly reported side effects included pyrexia (13.3%) and leukopenia (4.4%), while the rest were related to gastrointestinal disturbances. The majority of adverse consequences (61.5%) were of mild intensity, 38.5% of them were moderate, and none of the more severe form was recorded. Only one patient developed a rash of moderate intensity. In the research by Farmakis et al. adverse reactions included vomiting (8.7%), transient flushing or warmth (5.3%), nausea (4.7%), dizziness (0.7%) and altered taste (0.7%) (19). Two allergy-like reactions were recorded in 2 patients (sneezing and non-specific sounds when breathing). None of these reactions could be attributed solely to gadoterate meglumine due to previously used sedative agents. The majority of reported immediate adverse events (1.3%) in this study were of mild intensity and physiological in nature, consistent with the most commonly reported adverse events in previous studies, however the overall adverse event rate including both immediate and late reactions was 15.3% and it is higher than those previously recorded in the literature. The study by Emond et al. showed no case of acute adverse reactions after the use of gadoterate, as well as the occurrence of nephrogenic systemic fibrosis (20). The GARDIAN study was created to assess the tolerance and safety of gadobutrol (21). Individual adverse events included vomiting (n=3, 0.26%), nausea (n=2, 0.18%), and injection site reaction, urticaria, dyspnea, and evelid edema (n=1 each, 0.09%). No serious side effects were reported. No skin reactions were recorded in any case, which would indicate NSF. Gadobutrol is a very well-tolerated contrast agent that produces outstanding imaging quality, according to the findings of this significant pediatric subanalysis. The study by Glutig et al. was conducted on both adult and pediatric populations (22). Regarding pediatric patients in the group of those vounger than seven years, no adverse effects of gadobutrol were recorded. The only recorded side effect was in a 14-year-old patient with a burning sensation along the forearm immediately after applying GBCA and a headache lasting 5 minutes. In the study by Kunze et al. similar conclusions were reached, as in the previous two studies (23). Most side effects after gadobutrol administration in children were of mild symptoms. Once again, the favorable safety profile of this compound was demonstrated. In the study Shah et al. out of 125 children who received gadoteridol, six of them (4.8%) experienced 11 side effects during the 48-hour follow-up period after the application itself (24). Almost all side effects were related to laboratory values, such as elevated platelet levels, mildly decreased hemoglobin, mildly decreased hemoglobin and erythrocyte counts, moderately decreased platelet levels, and mildly elevated blood chloride. All events could not be directly correlated to gadoteridol.

The aim of the study by Maximova et al. was to determine whether gadolinium deposition in the liver occurs in pediatric patients with iron accumulation, but normal liver and kidney functions, to whom gadoterate meglumine was administered. Finally, a positive association was found between the total dose of GBCA administered and the level of gadolinium in the liver. Additionally, a positive corelation between the concentration of iron and gadolinium in the liver was found. Gadolinium levels in the liver were reduced in deferoxamine-treated patients. Therefore, further studies on the safety of GBCA in severe siderosis are needed, and in patients with iron overload and a history of exposure to these compounds, chelation should be considered (25).

In the study Jurkiewicz E et al. evaluated safety, pharmacokinetic and efficacy of gadopiclenol, a new high-relaxivity gadolinium-based contrast agent (26). Two patients (2.5%) experienced nonserious adverse events considered related to gadopiclenol: a mild QT interval prolongation and a moderate maculopapular rash. Gadopiclenol's safety profile in children aged 2 to 17 years was good and consistent with that seen in adults. Finally, the study of Heshmatzadeh Behzadi A et al. confirmed the effectiveness and safety of gadoterate meglumine (27).

DISCUSSION

The review revealed the most common side effects observed through noumerous studies refered to symptoms with mild acute manifestations, such as urticaria and rashes, while more serious acute reactions were rare and often difficult to attribute with certainty to contrast agents. Regarding the late reactions, the most significant of them is nephrogenic systemic fibrosis, the occurrence of which in pediatric patients has been shown as an extremely rare phenomenon, and was recorded exclusively in patients with existing severe kidney damage after aplication of withdrawn linear compounds (5-7).

Recently discovered gadolinium brain tissue deposition was investigated in several studies, of which almost all confimed higher levels of gadolinium deposition in patients who were repeatedly exposed to gadolinium linear contrast agents. The results also showed that unlike linear compounds, repeated applications of macrocyclic ones did not cause increased accumulation of gadolinium in the majority of research (8, 9, 13).

There are also numerous studies investigating adverse effects of the currently approved macrocyclic contrast agents. Almost all studies showed the majority of reactions were acute and of mild intensity with low incidence (16, 18, 20, 21). It seems the incidence of the reactions is increasing with age of children, but it remains lower compred to adults. Severe reactions, such as NSF, or death were almost no reported. Looking at complete safety of gadolinium contrast agents, the rate of side effects is much lower than when using iodine contrasts for computed tomography.

All data in this paper are part of the results of the undergraduate thesis "Safe use of gadolinium contrast agents" written at the University Department of Health Studies, University of Split (28).

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, despite the generally low side effect rate and occurrence of general mild reactions in pediatric patients, their long-term safety of use, especially in the neonatal age, has not yet been established. The use of this compounds should be reasonable and clinically justified in the pediatric population.

Abbreviations:

MRI - magnetic resonance imaging GBCA - gadolinium-based contrast agents NSF - nephrogenic systemic fibrosis

NOVČANA POTPORA/FUNDING Nema/None

ETIČKO ODOBRENJE/ETHICAL APPROVAL Nije potrebno/None

SUKOB INTERESA/CONFLICT OF INTEREST Autori su popunili the Unified Competing Interest form na www.icmje.org/coi disclosure.pdf (dostupno na zahtjev) obrazac i izjavljuju: nemaju potporu niti jedne organizacije za objavljeni rad; nemaju financijsku potporu niti jedne organizacije koja bi mogla imati interes za objavu ovog rada u posljednje 3 godine; nemaju drugih veza ili aktivnosti koje bi mogle utjecati na objavljeni rad./ All authors have completed the Unified Competing Interest form at www.icmje.org/coi disclosure.

pdf (available on request from the corresponding author) and declare: no support from any organization for the submitted work; no financial relationships with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work in the previous 3 years; no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

LITERATURE

- 1. Radiological Society of North America (RSNA), American College of Radiology (ACR). Pediatric MRI (Internet). Radiologyinfo.org. (Accessed: 30.03.2023). Available at: https://www.radiologyinfo.org/en/info/pediatric-mri
- 2. Salerno S, Granata C, Trapenese M, Cannata V, Curione D, Rossi Espagnet MC, et al. Is MRI imaging in pediatric age totally safe? A critical reprisal. Radiol Med (Internet). 2018; 123 (9): 695-702. doi: 10.1007/s11547-018-0896-1.
- 3. Xiao Y-D, Paudel R, Liu J, Ma C, Zhang Z-S, Zhou S-K. MRI contrast agents: Classification and application (Review). Int J Mol Med. 2016; 38 (5): 1319-26. doi: 10.3892/ijmm.2016.2744.
- 4. Huynh K, Baghdanian AH, Baghdanian AA, Sun DS, Kolli KP, Zagoria RJ. Updated guidelines for intravenous contrast use for CT and MRI. Emerg Radiol. 2020; 27 (2): 115-26. doi: 10.1007/s10140-020-01751-y.
- 5. Forbes-Amrhein MM. Dillman JR. Trout AT, Koch BL, Dickerson JM, Giordano RM, et al. Frequency and severity of acute allergic-like reactions to intravenously administered gadolinium-based contrast media in children. 2018: 53 (5): 313-8. doi: 10.1097/ RL1000000000000444
- 6. Dillman JR, Ellis JH, Cohan RH, Strouse PJ, Jan SC. Frequency and severity of acute allergic-like reactions to gadolinium-containing i.v. contrast media in children and adults. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2007; 189 (6): 1533-8. doi: 10.2214/AJR.07.2554.
- 7. McDonald JS, Larson NB, Kolbe AB, Hunt CH, Schmitz JJ, Kallmes DF, et al. Acute reactions to gadolinium-based contrast agents in a pediatric cohort: A retrospective study of 16,237 injections. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2021; 216 (5): 1363-9. doi:10.2214/AJR.20.23602.
- 8. Stanescu AL, Shaw DW, Murata N, Murata K, Rutledge JC, Maloney E, et al. Brain tissue gadolinium retention in pediatric patients after contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance exams: pathological confirmation. Pediatr Radiol. 2020; 50 (3): 388-96. doi:10.1007/s00247-019-04535-w
- 9. Rvu YJ. Choi YH. Cheon J-E. Lee W-J. Park S, Park JE, et al. Pediatric brain: Gadolinium deposition in dentate nucleus and globus pallidus on unenhanced T1-weighted images is dependent on the type of contrast agent. Invest Radiol. 2018; 53 (4): 246-55. doi:10.1097/ RL100000000000436

- of T1 signal intensity in the dentate nucleus and globus pallidus on unenhanced T1-weighted MR images in the pediatric brain exposed to multiple doses of gadolinium contrast. Brain Dev. 2016; 38 (3): 331-6. doi: 10.1016/j.braindev.2015.08.009
- 11. Towbin AJ, Zhang B, Dillman JR. A retrospective cohort evaluation of the effect of multiple administrations of gadopentetate dimeglumine on brain magnetic resonance imaging T1weighted signal. Pediatr Radiol. 2021; 51 (3): 457-70. doi: 10.1007/s00247-020-04860-5.
- 12. Topcuoglu ED, Topcuoglu OM, Semiz Oysu A, Bukte Y. Does gadoterate meglumine cause gadolinium retention in the brain of children? A case-control study. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2020; 51 (5): 1471-7. doi: 10.1002/jmri.26954.
- 13. Tibussek D, Rademacher C, Caspers J, Turowski B, Schaper J, Antoch G, et al. Gadolinium brain deposition after macrocyclic gadolinium administration: A pediatric case-control study. Radiology. 2017; 285 (1): 223-30. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2017161151.
- 14. Bhargava R, Persad ARL, Bhargava NK, Hawkes M. Multiple administrations of gadobutrol in the pediatric brain: No change in T1 signal at MRI. Radiology. 2018; 289 (1): 204-9. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2018172988.
- 15. Young JR, Pope WB, Bobinski M. Gadolinium deposition within the pediatric brain: No increased intrinsic T1-weighted signal intensity within the dentate nucleus following the administration of a minimum of 4 doses of the macrocyclic agent gadoteridol. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2018; 39 (9): 1604-8. doi: 10.3174/ ainr A 5748
- 16. Chang D-H, Pracros J-P. Safety of gadoterate meglumine in over 1600 children included in the prospective observational SECURE studv. Acta Radiol. 2019: 60 (11): 1450-6. doi: 10.1177/0284185119840649.
- 17. Balassy C, Roberts D, Miller SF. Safety and efficacy of gadoteric acid in pediatric magnetic resonance imaging: overview of clinical trials and post-marketing studies. Pediatr Radiol. 2015: 45 (12): 1831-41. doi: 10.1007/s00247-015-3394-9
- 18. Scala M, Koob M, de Buttet S, Bourrinet P, Felices M, Jurkiewicz E. A pharmacokinetics, efficacy, and safety study of gadoterate meglumine in pediatric subjects aged younger than 2 years. Invest Radiol. 2018: 53 (2): 70-9. doi: 10.1097/RLI.000000000000412
- 19. Farmakis SG, Hardy AK, Mahmoud SY, Wilson-Flewelling SA, Tao TY. Safety of gadoterate meglumine in children younger than 2 years of age. Pediatr Radiol. 2020; 50 (6): 855-62. doi: 10.1007/s00247-020-04626-z.
- 20. Emond S. Brunelle F. Gd-DOTA administration at MRI in children younger than 18 months of age: immediate adverse reactions. Pediatr Radiol. 2011; 41 (11): 1401-6. doi: 10.1007/ s00247-011-2167-3.

10. Roberts DR. Holden KR. Progressive increase

- 21. Glutig K. Bhargava R. Hahn G. Hirsch W. Kunze C, Mentzel H-J, et al. Safety of gadobutrol in more than 1,000 pediatric patients: subanalysis of the GARDIAN study, a global multicenter prospective non-interventional study. Pediatr Radiol. 2016: 46 (9): 1317-23. doi: 10.1007/s00247-016-3599-6.
- 22. Glutig K. Hahn G. Kuvvetli P. Endrikat J. Safety of gadobutrol: results of a non-interventional study of 3710 patients, including 404 children. Acta Radiol. 2019; 60 (7): 873-9. doi: 10.1177/0284185118801151.
- 23. Kunze C. Mentzel H-J. Krishnamurthy R. Fleck R, Stenzel M, Bhargava R, et al. Pharmacokinetics and safety of macrocyclic gadobutrol in children aged younger than 2 years including term newborns in comparison to older populations. Invest Radiol. 2016; 51 (1): 50-7. doi: 10.1097/RLI.000000000000204.
- 24. Shah CC, Spampinato MV, Parmar HA, Raslan OA, Tomà P, Lin DDM, et al. Safety and diagnostic efficacy of gadoteridol for magnetic resonance imaging of the brain and spine in children 2 years of age and younger. Pediatr Radiol. 2021; 51 (10): 1895-906. doi: 10.1007/ s00247-021-05069-w.
- 25. Maximova N, Gregori M, Zennaro F, Sonzogni A, Simeone R, Zanon D. Hepatic gadolinium deposition and reversibility after contrast agent-enhanced MR imaging of pediatric hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. Radiology. 2016; 281 (2): 418-26. doi: 10.1148/ radiol 2016152846
- 26. Jurkiewicz E. Tsvetkova S. Grinberg A. Pasquiers B. Pharmacokinetics, safety, and efficacy of gadopiclenol in pediatric patients aged 2 to 17 years. Invest Radiol. 2022; 57 (8): 510-6. doi: 10.1097/RLI.00000000000865.
- 27. Heshmatzadeh Behzadi A, McDonald J. Gadolinium-based contrast agents for imaging of the central nervous system: A multicenter European prospective study. Medicine (Baltimore). 2022; 101 (34): e30163. doi: 10.1097/ MD 000000000030163
- 28. Gabela I, Budimir Mršić D. Safe use of gadolinium contrast agents in pediatric population. University of Split. University Department of Health Studies: 2022.

Sažetak

KONTRASTNA SREDSTVA NA BAZI GADOLINIJA U PEDIJATRIJSKOJ POPULACIJI: PREGLEDNI RAD

Ira Gabela, Danijela Budimir Mršić

Unaprjeđenjem tehnologije te samim razvitkom magnetske rezonance dogodio se glavni preokret u domeni radiologije u dvadesetom stoljeću. Uvelike je olakšana vizualizacija svih dijelova ljudskog tijela uz održavanje odlične prostorne rezolucije bez upotrebe ionizirajućeg zračenja. U pedijatrijskoj populaciji pregled magnetskom rezonancom korisna je metoda u evaluaciji mozga, toraksa, abdomena, zdjelice te ekstremiteta. Upravo je ovo jedna od prvih metoda izbora za mlađe pacijente zbog nekorištenja ionizirajućeg zračenja. S ciljem povećanja kontrastnosti i rezolucije dobivene slike koriste se kontrastna sredstva magnetske rezonancije. Među najčešće korištena kontrastna sredstva spadaju kontrastna sredstva na bazi gadolinija. Prema molekularnoj strukturi dijele se na linearne i makrocikličke, a uz to postoji još i podjela na ionske i neionske. Makrociklički spojevi općenito se smatraju sigurnijima zbog niže stope disocijacije slobodnog iona gadolinija, koji je u tom obliku toksičan. Za gadolinijska kontrastna sredstva dugo se vjerovalo da su u potpunosti sigurna, ali njihova povezanost s nefrogenom sistemskom fibrozom primijećena je 2000ih, nakon čega se usmjerilo ka korištenju makrocikličkih spojeva u pedijatrijskih bolesnika zbog dokazano veće sigurnosti. Osim navedene nuspojave, moguć je razvoj i akutnih alergijskih reakcija, a u novije vrijeme otkrivene su i spoznaje o taloženju gadolinija u tkivima. Unatoč vrlo niskoj stopi navedenih nuspojava u pedijatrijskih pacijenata, njihova dugoročna sigurnost i primjena u neonatalnoj dobi još nije utvrđena. Upravo zbog toga, važno ih je oprezno koristiti uz naglasak na apliciranje najmanjih mogućih doza, korištenje makrocikličkih spojeva te dobru procjenu rizika. Ovaj pregledni rad prikuplja i analizira do sada objavljena istraživanja o kontrastnim sredstvima na bazi gadolinija u pedijatrijskoj populaciji.

Ključne riječi: GADOLINIJ, KONTRASTNA SREDSTVA, MAGNETSKA REZONANCA, PEDIJATRIJSKI PACIJENTI

Primljeno/Received: 2. 5. 2023. Prihvaćeno/Accepted: 26. 6. 2023.